

## LIGANDS FOR THE ESTROGEN RECEPTOR, CONTAINING CYCLOPENTADIENYLTRICARBONYLRHENIUM UNITS

Todd W. Spradau and John A. Katzenellenbogen\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, 600 S. Mathews Avenue, Urbana IL 61801, U.S.A.

Received 11 May 1998; accepted 8 July 1998

Abstract: As model systems for estrogens labeled with technetium-99m that might be used as in vivo imaging agents for estrogen receptor (ER)-positive breast tumors, we have prepared and determined the ER binding affinity of a series of nonsteroidal and steroidal estrogens substituted with a cyclopentadienyltricarbonyl-rhenium unit. While this organometallic unit interfered with ER binding when it was tethered close to the ligand, those analogs in which it was attached through a  $17\alpha$ -ethynyl link (provided that the link was not polar) showed high ER affinity. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Many breast tumors contain estrogen receptors (ER) and can be imaged in vivo on the basis of their selective uptake and retention of estrogens labeled with suitable radionuclides.<sup>1</sup> Such ER-based diagnostic imaging can be used to identify both primary and metastatic tumor sites, <sup>1-4</sup> but more importantly, it can be used to predict the liklihood of a favorable response to hormone therapy.<sup>5,6</sup>

Up to now, ER-based diagnostic imaging agents have been estrogens labeled with halogen radioisotopes, such as I-123, Br-77, or F-18. Despite the success of these radiopharmaceuticals, the short half-lives or limited availability of these radioisotopes have constrained the application of ER-based imaging. The popularity of the radionuclide technetium-99m for diagnostic imaging arises from both its excellent gamma emission characteristics and the great convenience and cost-effectiveness of its availability through the Mo-99/Tc-99m generator system. Therefore, a premium has been placed on the development of diagnostic imaging agents based on this radionuclide.

The challenge of labeling small molecule ligands for receptor systems with technetium, a metal, has generated much interest, and this field has been reviewed recently. Two general approaches have been taken to the labeling of receptor ligands with technetium: One is an *inorganic* approach, in which a multidentate heteroatom chelate is used to bind a technetium cation, generally, as a Tc(V) oxo system. A number of receptor ligands have been labeled in this inorganic fashion with technetium (or more frequently with the group VII congener rhenium) and investigated as imaging agents. Some of the agents for membrane receptors proved effective, but none of the steroids gave appropriate tissue distribution.

The other approach for labeling receptor ligands is an *organometallic* one, in which a lower valency technetium is tethered through metal carbon bonds. A particularly attractive system for the organometallic tethering is the cyclopentadienyltricarbonyltechnetium (CpTc(CO)<sub>3</sub>) unit<sup>11</sup> or the corresponding rhenium system (CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub>).<sup>11</sup> This unit is compact, nonpolar, and very stable. There have been a limited number of reports of

steroids labeled with CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> systems, <sup>12</sup> but these have proved difficult to prepare in Tc-99m labeled form, so, they have not been studied in vivo.

In this investigation, we describe the preparation of several non-steroidal and steroidal estrogens bearing a CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> unit as model systems for ER-based imaging agents that might eventually be labeled with Tc-99m. For example, some conjugates are prepared from organometallic precursors that can be synthesized using a novel double ligand transfer reaction on which we have reported recently. <sup>13–15</sup> All of the nonsteroidal ligands we have prepared show low affinity for ER, but some of the steroidal analogs bind to ER with high affinity.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Non-Steroidal Estrogens Labeled with CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub>

The nonsteroidal estrogens that we have prepared with CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> substituents are all analogs of the high affinity ER ligands *erythro*-norhexestrol (1) or *meso*-hexestrol (2). These simple molecules, as the erythro or meso diastereomers, bind to ER with an affinity that is greater than that of estradiol (E<sub>2</sub>); the *threo* or *dl* diastereomers, by contrast, have low affinity (cf. Table 1).

To label the norhexestrol and hexestrol systems, an indirect approach was used: Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>Br served as a Re(CO)<sub>3</sub> donor to introduce the metal into cyclopentadiene-derivatized precursor estrogens. The *erythro*-norhexestrol labeling sequence is shown in Scheme 1. A cyclopentadienyl group was introduced into the iodo derivative 3 (readily prepared from the previously described methyl ether<sup>16,17</sup>) via a displacement reaction using cyclopentadienyllithium (Cp-Li), to provide 4 as a mixture of diene isomers in high yield. The reaction with

Scheme 1. Preparation of CpTR-labeled erythro-norhexestrol (6) and related hexestrols (7-9).

Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>Br was performed using the Cp-thallium intermediate 5. The TBS protecting groups were removed with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) to provide *erythro*-norhexestrol derivative 6. The corresponding *threo*-norhexestrol derivative 7, as well as the *erythro*- and *threo*-hexestrol analogs 8 and 9, were prepared in an analogous fashion.

Steroidal Estrogens Labeled with CpRe(CO)3

All of the steroidal estrogens were substituted with the  $CpRe(CO)_3$  unit at the  $17\alpha$  position, although through various linkages. The first linkage was a direct bond between the steroid and the  $CpRe(CO)_3$  group. Brief treatment of  $CpRe(CO)_3$  (I) with *t*-butyllithium produces the known anion 10. Although the reaction of the lithium salt 10 with TBS-estrone 11a gave mostly deprotonation and aldol condensation products, the corresponding Ce(III) salt<sup>18</sup> gave satisfactory yields of the  $17\alpha$ - $CpRe(CO)_3$  adduct which was deprotected to provide the free estradiol derivative 12 (Scheme 2).

All of the preceeding routes involve a number of manipulations of the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> system that would be awkward for the preparation of the corresponding Tc-99m analogs. We have recently described a facile synthesis of *carbonyl*-substituted CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> systems<sup>15</sup> that is readily adapted to the synthesis of the corresponding technetium-99m labeled system II. <sup>13,14</sup> Therefore, the last set of analogs we investigated were those in which the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> system was attached to the 17 $\alpha$  position through a *carbonyl* unit.

Scheme 2. Preparation of 17α-CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub>-estradiol (13).

In the next system, the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> unit is linked to the 17 $\alpha$  position through an ethynyl group. This system had already been investigated to some extent by Jaouen, <sup>12</sup> and one analog (16d) was shown to be a high affinity ER ligand. <sup>12</sup> An expeditious route to four of these derivatives is shown in Scheme 3. The TBS-protected

Scheme 3. Preparation of 17α-ethynyl-Re(CO)<sub>3</sub> estradiol derivatives.

estrone derivatives 11a-d underwent addition of CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> ethynyllithium 15, which was readily prepared from CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> itself. Subsequent deprotection gave the desired adducts 16a-d.

Adducts of ethynylestradiol (17) and the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> ketone (18) and ester (19) (Scheme 4), namely, compounds 20 and 21, respectively, proved to be very unstable, and were not judged to be promising candidates for Tc-99m labeling.

Scheme 4. Ethynylestradiol addition to CpTe(CO)<sub>3</sub> methyl ketone and methyl ester.

The final  $CpRe(CO)_3$  estradiol derivative was the amide 26. It was prepared by coupling the  $CpRe(CO)_3$  ester 19 with an aluminum salt of the  $17\alpha$ -(3-aminopropyn-1-yl)estrogen 25, which was itself readily prepared from TBS-protected estrone 11a and the STABASE protected propargyl amine 23 (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5. Preparation of CpTR-labeled estrynamide.

Binding Affinity of CpRe(CO):-Substituted Estrogens to the Estrogen Receptor

The binding affinity of the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub>-substituted estrogens to the estrogen receptor (ER) was determined in a competitive radiometric binding assay, using [<sup>3</sup>H]estradiol as tracer and rat uterine cytosol as a source of ER. <sup>19</sup> The binding affinities are expressed as relative binding affinity (RBA) values, where the affinity of estradiol is 100; some assays were performed at both 0 and 25 °C (Table 1).

None of the nonsteroidal CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> substituted hexestrols or norhexestrols (6–9) had substantial affinity for ER. Considering that the CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub> unit is so close to the core of the ligand, this is not surprising. It was of note, however, that three diastereomers (7 and 9) had lower RBA values than the erythro diastereomers (6 and 8), reflecting the higher affinity binding of the corresponding diastereomers of the parent ligands.<sup>20</sup>

	RBA		•	RBA	
Compound	0°	25°	Compound	0°	25°
erythro-norhexestrol	229 <sup>20</sup>		estradiol (E2)	100	100
6	0.58	_	12	0.17	
threo-norhexestrol	$2.2^{20}$	_	16a	7.3 (16) <sup>12</sup>	$-(15)^{12}$
7	0.15	_	26	0.13	_
			$11\beta$ -OMe-E <sub>2</sub>	$9.7^{21}$	86 <sup>21</sup>
meso-hexestrol	$300^{20}$	_	16b	53	34
8	0.82	_	11β-Et-E <sub>2</sub>	130 <sup>21</sup>	$1400^{21}$
dl-hexestrol	$3.2^{20}$	_	16c	30	280
9	0.01	-	$11\beta$ -CH <sub>2</sub> Cl-E <sub>2</sub>	110 <i>c</i>	3320 <sup>22</sup>
			16d	18 (29) <sup>12</sup>	280 (172)12

Table 1. Relative binding affinities (RBA) of estrogen receptor ligands.

The steroidal estrogen with the  $CpRe(CO)_3$  unit directly bound to the  $17\alpha$  position (12) also had very low affinity, but the ethynyl spacer unit, as previously noted by Jaouen, gave estrogen  $CpRe(CO)_3$  derivatives with impressively high ER binding affinities (16a-d). Again, the highest affinity  $CpRe(CO)_3$ -substituted analogs (16c and 16d) are derived from the highest affinity parent  $11\beta$ -ethyl- and chloromethyl-substituted estradiols;<sup>21,22</sup> the high affinity of the  $11\beta$ -methoxy analog (16b) is somewhat unexpected, because the parent steroid has a lower RBA than estradiol.<sup>21</sup> The low affinity of the amide linked  $CpRe(CO)_3$  derivative (26) was disappointing, but not surprising, as the high polarity of the amide unit is probably introducing polarity in a region of ER where it is poorly tolerated.<sup>23</sup>

The pattern of structure-binding affinity that we have determined for these CpRe(CO)<sub>3</sub>-substituted estrogens suggests that careful design will be required to obtain analogs that have both high affinity for ER and can be readily prepared as the corresponding [99mTc]-substituted analogs. The high affinity of the ethynyl analogs 16a-d places a premium on the development of radiosynthetic routes to these compounds.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful for support of this research through grants from the National Institutes of Health (1R01 CA25836). NMR spectra were obtained in the Varian Oxford Instrument Center for Excellence in NMR Laboratory. Funding for this instrumentation was provided in part form the W. M. Keck Foundation, the National Institutes of Health (PHS 1 S10 RR104444-01), and the National Science Foundation (NSF CHE 96-10502). Mass spectra were obtained on instruments supported by grants from the National Institutes of General Medical Sciences (GM 27029), the National Institutes of Health (RR 01575), and the National Science Foundation (PCM 8121494). We thank Kathryn E. Carlson for determining the ER binding affinities.

## References

- 1. Katzenellenbogen J. A. In *Estrogens, Progestins, and Their Antagonists*; Pavlik, E. J., Ed.; Boston: Birkhäuser, 1996; pp 197-242.
- 2. Dehdashti, F.; Mortimer, J. E.; Siegel, B. A.; Griffith, L. K.; Bonasera, T. J.; Fusselman, M. J.; Detert, D. D.; Cutler, P. D.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Welch, M. J. Nucl. Med. 1995, 36, 1766.
- 3. McGuire, A. H.; Dehdashti, F.; Siegel, B. A.; Lyss, A. P.; Brodack, J.W.; Mathias, C.J.; Mintun, M. A.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Welch, M. J. J. Nucl. Med. 1991, 32, 1526.
- Mintun, M. A.; Welch, M. J.; Siegel, B. A.; Mathias, C. J.; Brodack, J. W.; McGuire, A. H.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Radiology 1988, 169, 45.
- Mortimer, J. E.; Dehdashti, F.; Siegel, B. A.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Fracasso, P.; Welch, M. J. Clin. Cancer Res. 1996, 2, 933.
- 6. Dehdashti, F.; Flanagan, F. L.; Mortimer, J. E.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Welch, M. J.; Siegel, B. A. Lancet, *submitted*.
- 7. Schwochau, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 2258.
- 8. Boyd, R. E. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 1982, 33, 801.
- 9. Molinski, V. J. Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 1982, 33, 811.
- 10. Hom, R. K.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Nucl. Med. Biol. 1997, 24, 485.
- 11. Boag, N. M.; Kaez, H. D. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G.; Stone, F. G. A., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1982; pp 161-242.
- 12. Top, S.; El Hafa, H.; Vessiéres, A.; Quivy, J.; Vaissermann, J.; Hughes, D. W.; McGlinchey, M. J.; Mornon, J-P.; Thoreau, E.; Jaouen, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8372.
- 13. Spradau, T. W.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Bioconjugate Chem., submitted.
- 14. Spradau, T. W.; Edwards, W. B.; Anderson, C. J.; Welch, M. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Nucl. Med. Biol., submitted.
- 15. Spradau, T. W.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Organometallics, in press.
- 16. Goswami, R.; Harsy, S. G.; Heiman, D. F.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 1002.
- 17. Landvatter, S. W.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 1300.
- 18. Imamoto, T. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3904.
- 19. Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Johnson, H. J., Jr.; Myers, H. N. Biochemistry 1973, 12, 4085.
- 20. Kilbourn, M. R.; Arduengo, A. J.; Park, J. T.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Mol. Pharmacol. 1981, 19, 388.
- 21. Pomper, M. G.; VanBrocklin, H.; Thieme, A. M.; Thomas, R. D.; Kiesewetter, D. O.; Carlson, K. E.; Mathias, C. J.; Welch, M. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 3143.
- 22. Bindal, R. D.; Carlson, K. E.; Reiner, G. C.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. J. Steroid Biochem. 1987, 28, 361.
- 23. Brzozowski, A. M.; Pike, A. C.; Dauter, Z.; Hubbard, R. E.; Bonn, T.; Engström, O.; Öhman, L.; Greene, G. L.; Gustafsson, J-A.; Carlquist, M. Nature (London) 1997, 389, 753.